

Application No. 10/692,570  
Docket No. F-756

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-43 are pending in the application. In the Office Action Summary mailed on September 13, 2005, the Examiner required election under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to one of the groups of claims consisting of either Group 1 claims 1-9; Group 2, claims 10-14; Group 3, claims 15-20; Group 4, claims 21-25; Group 5, claims 26-31; Group 6, claims 32-36; Group 7, claims 37-40; and Group 8, claims 41-43.

In response to this restriction, Applicant elects Group 1 with transverse. However, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider the restriction requirement in view of the fact that essentially the same art must be reviewed for all of the claims. It is submitted that any additional burden placed on the Examiner in connection with searching and examining all of the claims is small compared to the burden and cost being placed on the Applicant to file seven additional patent applications.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Charles R. Malandra, Jr.  
Reg. No. 31, 038  
Attorney of Record  
Telephone (203) 924-3217

PITNEY BOWES INC.  
Intellectual Property and  
Technology Law Department  
35 Waterview Drive  
P.O. Box 3000  
Shelton, CT 06484-8000